

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER	OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia	22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/428,228	10/27/1999	FIROOZ GHASSABIAN	676-8CIP	4391
75	590 05/07/2003			
JOSEPH SOFER ESQ		EXAMINER		
SOFER & HAF 342 MADISON			RAMOS FELICI	IANO, ELISEO
SUITE 1921 NEW YORK, N	NY 10173		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2681	13
		DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/428,228

Applicant(s)

GHASSABIAN

**Advisory Action** 

Examiner

**ELISEO RAMOS-FELICIANO** 

Art Unit 2681

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
There eject allow	REPLY FILED <u>Apr 14, 2003</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final tion under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for ance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a)	The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
ex ap se	stensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate stension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The opportiate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally it in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. 🗆	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. X	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: see attachment.
3. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
<b>5</b> .□	The a) $\square$ affidavit, b) $\square$ exhibit, or c) $\square$ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6. 🗆	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. 💢	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) $\boxtimes$ will not be entered or b) $\square$ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed: none
	Claim(s) objected to: none
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>26-43</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u>
3. 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) _ approved or b) _ disapproved by the Examiner.
o. □ 9. □	
_	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
<u>ل</u> . ل	Other:
	$oldsymbol{\circ}$

Art Unit: 2681

## **ADVISORY ACTION**

1. The incorporation of the underlined limitations to proposed amended claim 26 (see Paper No. 12) at least changes the scope of previously rejected claims 29-30, 32-37, and 39-43, because these claims would now include limitations that were not included before; therefore, the proposed changes raise new issues.


ELISEO RAMOS-FELICIANO PATENT EXAMINER /

Eliseo Ramos-Feliciano Patent Examiner Ph. (703) 305-0078 DWAYNE BOST
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600** 

ERF/erf May 5, 2003.

0



## TELECOPY/FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET

DATE:	327. 26, 2003			
SERIAL #	: 09 / 428,228 Ref #: 676-8 cip			
TO:	Atty. Joseph Sofer  (NAME)  50 fer & Haroun LLP  (COMPANY OR FIRM)  212-697-3004  (FAX NO.)  (VOICELINE NO.)			
FROM:	Ex. Eliseo Romos-Feliciano  (NAME)  703-305-0078			
NUMBER OF PAGES (including this page)				
If you have not received all pages of this transmission, please contact the sender (see FROM lines above).				
TELEFAX MA	CENTER: 2600 CHINE: 703-872-9306 CATION: CRYSTAL PARK 2			
07E: <u>co</u> (a	duisory Action) mailed 5/7/03.			